

# Measuring Habitat Use Overview

- Become the animal
- Silly nulls
- What to measure
- How to measure
- Variability
- Benefits of pilot studies
- Applications to the real world

## Become the animal

- Exercise in pattern seeking  
Try to envision/determine what the animal would need/use in its' environment

## Habitat

“the resources and conditions present in an area that affect occupancy by a species” (Morrison 2002)

## Silly nulls

- We can always develop a hypothesis but is it useful?
  - Example 1: No difference between habitat used by an organism and that not used (randomly selected)
  - Example 2: No difference between habitat used by Species A and Species B
- Why are these silly?

## Silly nulls

- Why are these silly?
  - Example 1:
  - Example 2:

- Avoid \_\_\_\_\_

- Determine which resources affect fitness
  - Focus on resources \_\_\_\_\_
  - What are constraints on use?
  - Generate a model
- Resources can be abundant but used differently in different areas
- Focus on WHY animals use habitat
- Gain knowledge that is applicable outside your study area
- Ask big questions
- Conduct long-term studies

## Are these silly nulls?

- Nest success of greater sage grouse is positively correlated with herbaceous cover and grass height (Holloran et al. 2005. JWM 69:638-649)  
Y N why?
- Ocelots will select large patches of closed canopy habitat and avoid large patches of unsuitable open habitat (Jackson et al. 2005. JWM 69:733-738)  
Y N why?

## What to measure

### Vegetation

- Composition \_\_\_\_\_
- Structure \_\_\_\_\_
- Landscape pattern: \_\_\_\_\_

## At what spatial scale?

- Ecosystem
- Community
- Population
- Organism
- Landscape level
- Between sites
- Within-Site
- Site-level

## At what spatial scale?

### Within-Site

#### Structure & Composition

- Vertical structure (graph)

#### Horizontal structure (patchiness)

- Patches of different plant species across the ground increase the complexity of habitat  
(Pictures)

## Special features

- riparian areas, breeding sites, den sites, burrows

others:

## Site Level

- Shape – affects the amount of core or interior habitat in a stand
- Topographic position – slope, aspect, and elevation affect stand microclimate and plant development
- Size – is the size of the stand large enough to include a home range or territory? of one or more individuals?
- Site quality – influences vegetation production and structure
- History – past disturbances

## Between Sites

- Edges: interface between two or more plant communities or stand conditions, may be gradual or abrupt
- Types of edge – (review)

## Landscape Level

- Corridors and connectivity – Use is variable among species (some use corridors, some do not)
- Patch \_\_\_\_\_
- Patch \_\_\_\_\_
- Patch \_\_\_\_\_
- Juxtaposition
- Isolation - distance between like similar patches
- Patch boundaries (contrast, permeability)
- Diversity of landscape elements (age structure)

## Question for discussion

- Two basic aspects of vegetation can be distinguished:  
structure (physiognomy)  
taxa of plants (floristics).

What are trade-offs to using either of these levels of measurement?

Think of how these measurements of vegetation fit with Johnson's (1980) hierarchy, and how this influences data analysis.

- 1<sup>st</sup> Geographic
- 2<sup>nd</sup> Home range
- 3<sup>rd</sup> Vegetative types
- 4<sup>th</sup> Food items

Can models adapted for one location be applied to another?

What should be measured?

Criteria for selecting a system and variables for study

- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_
- Relevant to impact effects and sensitive in response
- \_\_\_\_\_

Precision and accuracy

Relevant to impact effects and sensitive in response

- Many studies \_\_\_\_\_ and variables that were painstakingly measured aren't used in any analyses
- When should you measure 100 habitat variables and when should you stick to 50 or 25?
  - \_\_\_\_\_
  - \_\_\_\_\_
  - Should you measure ground cover if you're looking at habitat for brown creepers?

Spatial scale

- Match scale of analysis with scale targeted for management
- Hierarchical approach to studying habitat relationships

Jackson et al. 2005 Landscape metrics associated with habitat use by ocelots in south Texas. JWM 69:733-738

- Ocelots are listed as endangered
- Previous work shows they prefer closed canopy habitat
- This study: What patch size do they prefer?
- Used GIS and satellite imagery in south Texas to compare habitat with areas used by 11 ocelots
- Hypothesis: ocelots prefer large patches of closed canopy habitat and avoid large patches of unsuitable habitat
- Used areas had higher fragmentation than not used
- Ocelots preferred patches of closed canopy over other land cover types
- Results of this study can be used to designate conservation areas for ocelots and applied to management of other T&E wildlife

What scale is this study \_\_\_\_\_

## Where does your project fit in?

- Biogeographic scale
- Regional scale
- Local, between-plot scale
- Local, within-plot scale

## Temporal variation

- Temporal changes in habitat availability
  - Seasonal
    - When is most critical survival time: winter, summer? Why?
  - Daily
  - Behavioral variations
    - Breeding / non-breeding seasons
    - Presence / absence of predators, competitors
    - Age class / density (ex. fall high numbers of rodents since lots of juveniles + adults, spring low with low winter survival)

# Short- vs Long-Term Studies

- Short-term studies
  - Retrospective
  - Substitute space for time
  - Use systems with fast dynamics
  - Model
- Long-term studies
  - As long as generation time of dominant organism
  - Longer than most studies

## When are long-term studies particularly important

- Slow processes
  - forest succession, exotic species invasions
- Rare events
  - El Nino, catastrophic natural events
- Subtle events
  - Processes change yearly, but variance is high year to year (BBS shows decline / increase in some bird species over time)
- Complex phenomena

## How to measure Measurements of the Animal

- Presence/absence? \_\_\_\_\_
- Index of abundance? \_\_\_\_\_
- Density estimate? \_\_\_\_\_
- Complete enumeration (census)? \_\_\_\_\_
- Location, movements, survival? \_\_\_\_\_

## Measuring Factors

- Match measurements to question
- Relate species richness (coarse measure) to gross structure of vegetation, not to composition

Examples:

## Qualities of useful variables

- Influences distribution of study animal
- Biologically meaningful
- Can be measured \_\_\_\_\_ and at the desired  
\_\_\_\_\_
- Should have small \_\_\_\_\_ variation relative  
to \_\_\_\_\_ variation
- Should describe environment immediately around the  
animal

## Indirect measures?

- Sometimes no alternative but to measure habitat features indirectly
  - Example: Insects that serve as forage base
    - May measure amount of foliage or live crown ratio instead of insects themselves
    - May measure insects themselves but not know specific species being consumed
  - One of the greatest weaknesses in habitat analysis
- 

## Questions for discussion

- When measuring habitat, MMM point out that you need to choose variables that are relevant to the question at hand. How do you know what is relevant?
- In a focal animal approach, habitat is sampled in which an animal of interest has been found. An animal's location may serve as the center of a sampling plot, or a series of observations may be used to delineate the sampling area. The major assumption is that the measurements indicate habitat preferences of the animal. Why might this not be a good assumption?

## Benefits of pilot studies

- Can \_\_\_\_\_ methods  
(if no specific method already in general use)
- Can determine \_\_\_\_\_
  - Based on variance in population, *avoiding Type I and II errors*, effect size
- Evaluate data as you get it before “the big study”, make adjustments as necessary
  - Can determine how much vegetation data you need to collect (may vary by the measure being taken)
  - Potential for observer bias

# Statistical hypothesis testing

- Type I error: finding a difference when there isn't one
  - null hypothesis is rejected when it is really true
- Type II error: not finding a difference when there is one
  - a false hypothesis is accepted

	Reject	Do not reject
Hypothesis true	Type I	Correct
Hypothesis false	Correct	Type II

When is it bad to make a  
Type I error?  
Type II error?

Give an example of each

## When to skip doing the study

- If you can't accurately count or index animals
- If there is too much variation in the system to measure accurately
- If you can't (or don't) correct for observer bias
- If you mismatch scale to organism
  - Don't try to count bears in a 5 square mile area if one bear uses  $\geq 200$  sq miles or count woodpeckers in a 20 ha area if they have a 10 ha home range